GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

1894-95
~~191~~.
(Mourai)

File No. 6

Serial Nos.

Papers Filed.

*Month*_____

SUBJECT.

Passing orders regarding the alienation of the Inam land attached to the Sri Balalinga waraswami Temple at Vignasanta, Tiphur Taluk.

PREVIOUS REFERENCES | LATER REFERENCES

Memo

Alienation of inam land attached to the Pagoda Singavaram temple of Tiruvallur in the Tiruttani taluk.

The temple is in charge with the following inam lands in the village of Tiruvallur to —

Survey no	Extent	Assessment	Jodi inclusive of local cess and irrigation cess.
	A. G	R a P	R a P
39 —	0 - 21 -	1 4 - 0	34 15 0
111 —	3 - 18 .	34 0 - 0	

Of the two survey nos, the one is in the possession and enjoyment of the temple trustee, while the other Survey no 111 has been alienated

alienee paying to Government
the entire Jodi & imposed
on both the inam lands
and nothing to the temple.
2. From the Records
received it is seen that
the alienation of the inam
land has taken place
prior to January 1858;
and under Rule II Clause
A of the Notification of
17th November 1877, which
applies to the case, the
land should be confirmed
in the possession of the
alienee on a Jodi of half
the assessment imposed
on the land. If this Rule
be enforced, the alienee
will pay only Rs 17 to the
temple and the temple

will have to pay to Government the whole Jodi on the two main lands amounting to Rs 34-13-3 or Rs 17-13-3 more than what it would fet.

3. The question now for the orders of Government is, whether under the foregoing circumstances, it is worth while taking action in the case under the Notification of the 17th November 1877

Chief Secy

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE.

MUZRAI BRANCH.

OFFICE NOTE

SUBJECT. Correspondence ending with the Tumkur Deputy Comr's Letter No 1001 dated 21st April 1901, in re the alienation of Inam lands attached to Sri Narasimhaswami Temple

LAST REFERENCE. Letter No. _____ dated _____

from at Vigrasumbe, Tiptur Taluk :—

At the Dasara Representative Assembly of 1893, a Complaint was brought by one of the Representative members that the Endowments to the temples of Bukalingeswara & Narasimhaswami at Vigrasumbe were misappropriated & alienated, and the Deputy (a) referred to the By

Commissioner for report.

2. The Dy Commissioner (b) thereupon reported that the two temples were endowed with Inam lands as noted in the margin (c), that some possession and enjoyment of the lands were in the alienees, and that the matter would be enquired into and reported upon in due course. This letter was recorded.

3. The Dy Commr again reported in his letter no 299 dated 23rd September 1894, that the Inam land bearing Survey no 111 granted to the temple of Balalingeswara Swamie being wrongly alienated

(b) Vide his letter no 1061 dated 28th June 1894.

(c)

Balalingeswaraswami Temple.

Survey no.	Extent.	Assessment Rs as p	Jodi to
39.	A 4 4 — 21	1 — 4 — 0	34-13-3
111	3 — 18	34 — 0 — 0	
Total	3 — 39.	35-4-0.	34-13-3.

Narasimhaswami Temple.

40	0 — 16	1 — 0 — 0
197	3 — 4	3-8-0
160	4-22	5-0-0
170	10-34	12-0-0
49	2-17	12-0-0
123	3-4	31-0-0
		34-9-6

prior to the 31st January 1853
the matter had to be disposed
of as per clause (a) of para
2 of Government Notification (d)
no 266 of the 17th November 1877,
(that is, the land had the
confinement to the aliences
on half the assessment and
the amount so levied given
to the Institutions);

ii But that the aliences
have been already paying
more than the full assessment
of the land viz Rs 34-13-3
which is the entire Jodi fixed
for both the Inam lands
and iii that the question of
alienation of the Inam lands
- to the temple of

Nara swamy being

still under investigation,

the matter would be reported

after the enquiry was completed.

4 After some correspondence

it was decided in Govt

Lro no 748 dated 21st Decr

1847

that as the alienation of

the Inam land attached

to the Balutingeswara swami

temple took place prior

to 31st January 1853, and

as the alinee has been

already paying to Government

the entire jodi &c, no orders

need be issued in regard

to the alienation of these

inam lands.

5th As regards the Inam

lands endowed to the

Narasimhaswami temple,

(e) The following Docket was written to the Dy Commr. —

"From the records read with the Dy Comr's letter No 817 dated 2nd April 1897 it appears that, out of the six Inam lands endowed to the temple, only two have been alienated. The alienation of one of these, viz, Survey No 49 in the possession of Patel Nanje Gowda seems to have taken place about the year 1873, and under Rule II Clause B of the Notification of the 17th November 1877, the alienation is liable

(e) No 874 dated 17th January 1898.

[* The Vernacular records show that the Inam was sold by one Venkatanarasaya to Mudli Venkatanarasaya for Rs 150 on the 31st January 1872, that it was again sold by the latter to one Lutta Rudrayya for Rs 150 on the 12th Nov 1873, half of which was again sold

the land measured and
restored to the temple.

2. As regards Komaram,
the other land - Survey
No 123 in the possession
of Gopalimyar (Munsiff
of Chickmagalur), the
latter merely states that
the land has remained
in the possession of his
ancestors for several
years past. This statement
is vague and cannot
be accepted. The Dy
Comr is therefore requested
to hold a further enquiry
in the matter by calling
upon Gopalimyar to
produce documentary

"evidence to show differ-
-ently, how and when
the land was alienated
by his ancestors and
report the result of
the enquiry with his own
opinion.

3 "Final orders on
both the cases will
be passed by Govt.
on receipt of the Dy
Comr's report now
called for."

6. In reply to the above, the
Dy Comr has now submitted
his letter no 1001 dated
21st April 1901, in which he
- mr Gopalierger

has not produced any
documentary evidence as
to when and how the land
was alienated to his
ancestors, but that he has
produced authenticated
copies of certain Exhibits
and judgment (23 in number)
in O.S. No 6 of 1867 on the
file of the European Asst
Supt of the Tumkur District,
in respect of the claim brought
by Shamiengar, father
of Mr. Gopaliengar against
one Venkataramiah for
the land in question and
that these Exhibits do not
give the required information.

7. The Dy Comm has also

· Submitted for reference

Mr Gopalimgar's written

statement · Sent by him dir

to the Assistant of Tipturu Taluk

8 In the written statement

which is in Kannarese, Mr

Gopalimgar states that

Survey no 123, (Garden),

it is the Inam land attached to

Narasimha Swamy at Vighn

- Sunte was in the enjoyment

of his father for about

30 years, that since

death in 1876 it has been

in the enjoyment of himself

and his brothers; that

their rights have duly execute

'Kuttu Karar' in their favo

that his father shewing

alias

Timmanaiengar filed a

regular suit in the Court

of the European Asst. Supt.

of Tumkur in 1867 and

took the garden into his possession,

that he will produce the

authenticated copies of the

papers connected with the

above suit; that ~~it will be~~

~~observed~~ would the records show

that one Ranga Narasiengar,

younger grandfather of

his (Mr Gopalengar's) father

purchased these lands and

was in possession of them

since Samvat; that the

documents relating to the sale

must be with one Venkatachar,

who was the 1st Witness on behalf

of the respondent- in the above suit-; but that Who this Venkatachar is and where he lives, could not be ascertained and he is unable to produce that therefore the original documents relating to this garden.-

9 The present claimee of Survey no 49 has deposed before the Amildar that the whole number is not in his enjoyment but admitted that he has been enjoying only one-fourth of it, paying the equivalent assessment to Govt and that the other three-fourths of the

Vide his deposition dated 13th July 1895.

Archak. But the sale document of 1882 shows that half of it was purchased by his father Narasegowda. So, it is not quite clear what portion of the survey number 49 has been alienated and what portion of the assessment thereon is being paid by the alienee, and whether as alleged by Nanjegowda, the other portion of the land is in the enjoyment of the Archak.

Submitted for orders

RS

29/5/04

Call for further info as regards Survey No. 49.

KK

31/5/04

Note Continued :-

10 Further information having been called for as regards Survey no 49, the Dy Commr now states that the present alience of Survey no 49 and Thimmappayya, the present Archak of the temple have been each enjoying a half of the said Survey number and paying therefor a moiety of the Jodi viz Rs 12.

In his letter no 94 dated 18th July 1901.

Submitted for orders

23/7/01

Note Continued :-

11. As per verbal orders the

The following additional information was called for from the Architect of the Tirupati Temple :—

i. The godi payable on each number.

ii Who was paying the godi amount on the 4 numbers reported to be in the possession of the temple; what was the amount realized on each number; how the amount was being utilized and whether the Pujari himself was cultivating the lands or whether he had leased them out to any one else?

iii What was the amount realized on half the portion of the Survey No 49, enjoyed by the Pujari; how it was utilized; what was the

Jodi amount payable on this
portion and by whom it was
paid. The similar details
in connection with the ~~Lower~~
other half in possession of
Nanje Gowda; and whether
the total Jodi amount on
the whole number was paid
by either of them or separately
and if so in whose name
the Receipt was obtained

iv The amount realized
and the Jodi paid on
No 123, which is reported to
be ~~not~~ Guruden

12. In reply the Amildar
in his Report No $\frac{5-78}{2}$ dated
12th March 1902, states the
the Jodi amount on each
is being levied as

... in margin; that the total amount of garti on all the 6 numbers (excluding Rs 5-4-0 being the Kalsat and the Kasar garti) viz Rs 29-4-6 is being paid by the Pujari, by Patel Ranje Gowda and by Municipal Gopalinagar as follows:—

6-14-3 by the Pujari
6-14-3 by Ranjegowda
15-8-0 by mr Gopalinagar
29-4-6

that the annual income on these numbers is as noted in the margin, which amount is being utilised by the Pujari who has learnt them out on Vara time;

that only the portion of the cultivated survey number 49, which is garden yield Rs 3 annually from the Covenant-tree.

	Rs-as-p
No 40 ...	0-13-6
160	2-8-0
170	4-9-0
49	4-9-0
123	11-12-6
197 ..	10-4-6
	34-8-6

× No 40 waste
197 - Rs 1-8-0
160 .. 2-0-0
170 4-0-0
7-8-0

the amount being utilized by the Pujaris, but no separate fee being paid therefor by him; that the other half in the possession of Ramji Gowda yielded Rs 25 per annum from the Coconut trees; that the said Ramji Gowda utilizes the amount paying Rs 6-14-3 as fee to Govt, obtaining a Receipt in his own name; and the Survey number 123, which is garden yielding Rs 100 a year, which is enjoyed by one Gopalinga, paying a fee amount of Rs 15-8-0 and obtaining a separate receipt therefor

Submitted

Rs
20/2/02

13 The question now is only about two Survey Numbers 49 and 123 Endowed to the Sri Narasimhaswamy Temple at Vighnasanke, Upper Taluk.

14. One half of Survey No 49 was alienated in 1875 and therefore the alienation is liable to be cancelled and the land Resumed and restored to the Inamdar, as per Rule II clause B of Govt Notifn No 246 dated 17th December 1877, a Record Copy of which is herewith filed.

15. The other Number 123 appears to have been in the enjoyment of M/s Gopalcengar* for about 30 years previous to 1876 (as per M/s Gopalcengar's written Statement), and after him it has been in the possession and Enjoyment of M/s Gopalcengar. Though the

* now Munsiff

exact date of its alienation cannot be ascertained, it appears to have taken place prior to the 31st January 1883, as Mr Gopalrangari father held it for 30 years prior to 1876, the year of his death. The case has therefore to be dealt with in accordance with clause A Rule II of the Land Notification, that is, the land has to be conveyed to the claimant and subjected to a jodi of one-half the assessment, the jodi so imposed being made payable to the temple.

7/10/02

R Dungarsee
8.10.02
1477

16. 8208 Please let me know how if this rule is applied to 123 the holder will be affected. I understand that he now pays a jodi of 15-8-0 would you render him liable to pay more. If not would you instruct

The Temple - Mosque
and vegetable requires
further elucidation
Your suggestion that
Survey 49 is allowed
may be carried
out 3·XI·02

4/11/02

Issue the orders about
No 49 at once and
then re-submit

22/11/02

Enquire about
S. No 123
13/3/03

Note continued :—

As directed by the Dewan,
orders were issued directing the
resumption & restoration to the temple of half
of Survey No 49, and enquiry was
made as to what extent the alienee
of Survey No 123 would be affected
if that number was dealt with under
clause 1, Rule II of the Notn of 1877.

The Dy Commr
reports that Survey No 49
after resumption has

* (Printed copy put up
Flag A

been leased out for Rs 50 to be paid to the temple annually.

As regards distribution of Jodi & other cesses payable to Govt., the Asst. proposes as follows:—

	Jodi	Local cess	Irrigation cess	Total
1) The temple —	4-9-0	0-12-0	0-12-0	6-1-0
2) The Pujari —	6-2-6	1-5-6	0-1-0	7-9-0
3) Mr. Gopalaiyar (alienee) —	11-12-6	1-15-0	1-15-0	15-10-0
Total	22-8-0	4-0-6	2-12-0	29-4-0

The ~~payable~~ amount payable by the temple is proposed to be levied because Sur: No 49 is restored to it & also to the Pujari on account of other numbers held by him; and by Mr. Gopalaiyar (the alienee) as Sur: No 123 is proposed to be dealt with under clause A, Rule II of the Notfn by which he will have to pay by way of Jodi one-half of its assessment to the temple, which will be Rs 15-8-0. Adding to the the sum of Rs 15-10-6 being the Jodi & cesses payable to Govt. Mr. Gopalaiyar will have to pay in all

(* Plan C)

The assessment on Sur No 123 is Rs. 31-0-0

The above is subject to
reply to the Drewards query
dated 5/11/42.

Sealed with a draft
to the Director
through the 2nd/Lt

Elizabeth Smith
29/11/04

184
1944

There is no
documentary evidence
to show that the
Aryan land L.No 123
has been in the
occupancy of Mr
Gopalingam and
his father for 30
years prior to 1/76.
Mr Gopalingam is an
interested party
and his statement
cannot be relied
upon, without other
documentary evidence
to support it. In
the absence of such
evidence the presumption
must be that the
lands were alienated
subsequent to 1/53.
In these circumstances
I can see no reason

3192/4.12.04.

Inamdar Secretary's Powers.

The File is re-submitted to the Collector through the Deputy Commissioner of Dharwar. Mr. Gopala Iyengar, Inamdar, who is in the enjoyment of Survey No. 123, personally represented his case to them.

On going through the papers once again,

I find from some of the copies of Exhibits produced by Mr. Gopala Iyengar which are referred to in page 8 of this Note that the fact of the land having been in the possession and enjoyment of third parties prior to the 1st January 1865 is clearly proved. These documents are as follows:-

1. In the written statement given by one Venkataramier before the European Assistant Superintendent of Tumkur on the 18th April 1867, it is stated that the garden (now Sur. No. 127) was in the enjoyment of his father-in-law Ganjam Narainiengar for about 30 years

Major E Armstrong.

2. In the deposition given before the said European Assistant Superintendent by one Gurupadaiya on the 14th Jan 1867, he stated that 20 years previously Ganjam Narainiengar leased out to him the garden at Vinnasante and that he enjoyed it for 7 years. Slip. F.

3. In the deposition given by one Arse Gowda on the 14th May 1867, it is stated that the garden in question was in the enjoyment of Krishnappa about 30 years previously and that it since came to the of Ganjam Narainiengar. Slip. G.

Muzrai Secretariat. | File No of 190

[illegible]

Submitted to the Dewan } Dhanvantad
I- Councillor 12 '10

Mūzrai Secretariat. | File No. of 190

Edmd Shute
encloses an opinion
on copies of documents
produced originals
may be sent for if
available. Copies bear
the signature of Head
Record Keeper of the
originals and set forth
cause of the omission
that can be shewn
to Mr Subramaniyan is,
in my opinion, to confirm
the Board's decision that the
land to him for full
assessment in consideration
of the improvements
effected by him.

11888
15/3/06

14/3/06

14/3/06

[illegible] Questions and
misconduct. A [illegible] rule
is [illegible] will seem to be
applicable - The effect will
be that the draft proposed
by the Sec'y cannot be
correct & a [illegible] of the
Council has no right be
issued until 16.3.06

Rec'd
19/3/06

Subject to the 2nd Consultation [illegible]
19/3/06

06.

Lord Lansdowne,
At my house this evening,
attentively [illegible]
[illegible] document submitted
[illegible] Can explain original
[illegible] the Home Rule Bill
to King Edward's Ministers such
[illegible]

Muzrai Secretariat | File No of 190

I wrote to get the originals
21/3/06

1/3/06

Muzrai Secretary's Remarks:-

Learning that the original record in this case was kept in the Central Record Room, at Bangalore, I wrote to the District Judge who is in charge of it, and have obtained the original record.

All the certified copies submitted by the petitioner are borne out by the original records.

The copies are all duly stamped and bear the Head Record Keeper's attestation as he is the Officer to certify to the correctness of the copies issued from the Central Record Room.

Submitted to the 2nd Councillor with reference to his queries dated yestorday. } V Muantasame Rao 22. 3. 1906.

In the enquiry stated above, there was no objection to Devanna's evidence being received.

Issue the [illegible] Parties

872

Enclosures No.

United States
Department of the Interior

8th June
9

Official Memorandum

By Lowrie
Tunkhannock Pa
236.

1893
report in
detail on the alleged mis
appropriation of the
temple endowment
at Vegas

Tumkur District
Deputy Commissioner's Office
Dated 28th June 1894.

To,

The Secretary to the
Government of Mysore,
Muzarai Department.

Sir,

With Reference to your Official Memorandum No. 1334 dated the 8th June 1894, calling for a report on the complaint made at the last Representative Assembly about the alienation of the Inam lands granted to the temples of Balalingaswaraswami and Narasimhaswami at Vighnasante in the Tiptur Taluk, I have the honor to state as follows:—

2. The temple of Balalingaiswaraswami is endowed with two Inam lands shewn below:—

Survey No.	Extent A. Gr.	assessment R. A. P.	Jodi R. A. P.
39	" — 21	1 — 4 — "	34 — 13 — 3
111	3 — 18	34 — " — "	
	3 — 39	35 — 4 — "	34 — 13 — 3

Survey No. 39 is in the enjoyment of the Archa
No. 111 is in the possession of
is, a half of it is in th

and the other half in that of Makanahalli Linga. The former viz. ——— Dasé-gowda, deposes that his father Naya bought the half in his possession from Kadasiddo and Lamnabora for Rs. 75/- in 1861-62, that he possesses a conveyance-deed therefor and that he has ever since been paying its Kandayam viz Rs 16-8-. He further deposes that the above named Kadasiddo and Lamnabora have died. The other alienee viz: Makanahalli Linga states that the land was at first alienated to one Nagamangaladoo Hutchanna (when and by whom he does not know); that he sold it for Rs 300/- to Kasavanahalli Mallagiri in 1869, that he in his turn sold it for Rs. 200/- to Modihalli Chicka Gowda in 1876; that then Nonavinkéré Byatiah bought it of him for Rs. 350/- in 1883, and that he sold it to him (the present holder) for Rs. 400/- in 1890 He further deposes that except Nonavinkéré Byatiah, the others who enjoyed the land have died and that he has been paying the Kandayam viz: Rs. 18-12. Both these persons decline to restore the land to the temple. But as per Chief Commissioner's Notification

dated the 17th November 1877 and Government Order No. 7704-12-234 dated the 5th January 1892, published in pages 88 and 89 of the Revenue Manual, the possessors should prove that the alienation was made more than 50 years ago. If, however, they fail to do so, action will be taken as per rules quoted. Beside this a ready money allowance of Rs. 5-13-" per annum is also given to this temple. Some repairs estimated to cost Rs. 1000/- are needed to it, but it has no surplus fund at its credit.-

3. The temple of Narasimhaswami is endowed with six Inam lands mentioned below:-

Survey No.	Extent A. Cs.	assessment R. A. P.	Jodi &c. R. A. P.
40	"-16	1-"-"	
197	3-4	3-8-"	
160	4-22	5-"-"	34-9-6.
170	10-34	12-"-"	
49	2-17	12-"-"	
123	3-4	31-"-"	
	24-17	64-8-"	34-9-6.

Survey Nos. 49 and 123 are in the enjoyment of alienees. The rest are in the Archicka's possession. Thinmappingar the Archicka petitioned you that the two lands ex- the alienees should be -

. His petition has

l.

been forwarded to this office with your
canarese Memo: No. 78 dated the 18th October
1892 for enquiry and report A report
will be made after the enquiry that
being made is completed. Already
money allowance of Rs. 7-4-- per annum
is also given to this temple. -

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant,

Deputy Commissioner

Read:—

Subject Nº 236 of the Dasara Representative Assembly Proceedings of 1893 in re the misappropriation and alienation of Certain Inam lands attached to the temples of Bale=lingeswaraswami and Narasimhaswami at Vighna Santé in the Tiptur Taluk.—

Also:-

Letter Nº 1061 Dy 28th June 1894 from the Dy: Commr: Tumkur District reporting on the above.

Order thereon No. 42 Dy 17/18 . 7. 94.
mur 7 6-94

The letter read above is recorded with the remark that the further action taken in the matter by the Dy: Com^{r}: Should be reported to the Govt in due Course.

2. The enquiry relating to the alienation of the Inam lands attached to the Narasimhaswami temple Should be expedited.

Fair Sd

M/

Mur: Secy

To.

The Deputy Com^{r}:
Tumkur Dist.

OFFICE OF THE
182
MU RAI
BRANCH
DEWAN OF MYSORE

Gen. Serial No. 31.]

1894. 95

From The Deputy Commissioner
Tumkur District

To The Secretary to the
Government of Mysore
Muzarai Department.

Dated 23rd September 1894

Despatched Do —

Received 24. 9. 94

Enclosures No.

General No. 299.
No.

Government order No 42
Muz F 6-94
of the 17th July 1894

Reporting on the alienation
of the Inam land bearing
Survey No 111 granted to the
temple of Balalingaswara-
-swami at Vignasante in
the Tiptoor Taluk.

No 299.

Tumkur District,
Deputy Commissioner's Office,
Dated 23rd September 1894

To

The Secretary to the
Government of Mysore
Muzarai Department.

Sir,

Adverting to para 1 of —
Government order No 42
Muz J 6.94 dated the
17th July 1894, I have the honor to state
that it has been shewn by documents
~~that~~ the Inam land bearing Survey No 111
granted to the temple of Balalingaswara-
-Swami at Vignasante in the Tiptoor —
Taluk was alienated prior to the 31st
January 1853. Hence the matter has to be
disposed of as per clause (a) of para 2
of Government Notification No 266 of the
7th November 1877, that is the land has
to be confirmed to the alienees on —

half assessment and the amount so levied given to the institution; but the alienees have been paying more than the full assessment of the land, viz Rupees 34-13-3 which is the entire Jodi fixed on both the Inam lands. It will be seen that deducting the Jodi of Rupees 34-13-3 — imposed on both the Inam lands attached to the temple from the assessment fixed thereon viz Rupees 35-4-- There remains a Rupees --6-9 as the profit of the archika Whereas he now enjoys the other Inam land No 39 assessed at Rupees 1-4-- and pays nothing therefor. Thus he gets even more than the profit of Rupees --6-9 originally contemplated.

2 The question of alienation of the Inam lands granted to the temple of

(referred to in para 2

the order under reply) is being enquired into. A report will be made after the enquiry is completed.

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant.

Deputy Commissioner
Jullundur District

Gen. Serial No. 30.]

1894

MUZARAI

From

To The Dy Commr
Tanjore Dist

Dated 14 October /94

Despatched 25-10

Received

Earl ures No.

General No. 377
Muzarai F. No.

His No. 299 of the 23rd
Ultimo instant reporting on the
alienation of the Inam
land (Survey No. 111) grant
to the temple of Boalalin-
geswara Swami at Vigne-
sante in the Tiptur
Taluk.

— ii —

With reference to the
above has the honor to
request that an extract

Registe

Register shewing the particulars of the aforesaid Inam land may be forwarded to this office for reference together with the Copy original documents under which it was alienated prior to the 31st January 1853.

By order

A

Secretary.

E.V.

Gen. Serial No. 31.]

1894-95

From The Deputy Commissioner
Tumkúr District

To The Secretary to the
Government of Mysore
Munzaroy Department

Dated 31st October 1894.
Despatched 1st November
Received 3/11

Enclosures No. 3.

General No. 385.
No.

His Docket No. 377
6-94
dated the 24th October 1894.

Forwarding an extract
from the Quit-Rent
Register of the Inam
lands granted to the
temple of Balalingeswa
=swami at Vijnasante
in the Tiptur Taluk.

No: 385
Encl 3.

Deputy Commissioner's Office,
Tumkur District.

Dated 31st October 1894.

To

The Secretary to the
Government of Mysore
Muzaroy Department.

Sir

As called for in your docket No. 377/6-94 dated the 24th October 1894, I have the honor to forward herewith an extract from the Quit-Rent Register of the Inam lands granted to the temple of Balalingéswara-swami at Vignasante in the Tiptur Taluk together with Copies of the documents referred to.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most Obedient Servant

[signature]

Deputy Commissioner
Tumkur District.

Extract from the Quit-Rent Register of Minor Inam Tinkir District of Kundydroog Division.

Serial No	Name of Inamdar	Description of Inam	Conditions of grant	Description of Land	Old Field Number	Old Extent	Former Appraisement	Old Extent
2	3	4	5	6	7	8 K. C. P.	9 R A. P.	Fl C
684/608	The Managers for the of Balalingeswaraswami at Uligaradante.	Devadaya.	For Support of the temple.	Wet garden.		1-7-7½	33-15-4	1-7

Dated 31st October 1894.

nfirmed in the Taluk of Tirvekéré (Tiptur) on the

	Inam confirmed			Quit-Rent to Government.				
Old Assessment	Survey Number	Survey area	Survey Assessment	Former Jodi	Quit-Rent now imposed	Total	Confranchised or not	Remarks.
11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19.
				R. A. P.	R A P	R. A. P.		
33-15-4				28-1-4	"-11-9	29-"-"	Confranchised	

"Extract"

Deputy Commissioner
Tiimkúr District.

Gen. 30.]

1896

MUZRAI.

From

To The Dy Comm^r Tumkur Dist^t

Dated 20. 2. 96

Despatched

Received

Enclosure No. 7

General No. 881

Muzrai F. No. 6-94

His No 385 dy 31st Oct '94 regarding the alienation of the Inam lands attached to the Balalingeswaraswami temple at Vignasante, Tiptur Taluk

Has the honor to request that the entire original records of the enquiry in connection with the alienation in question may be submitted to Govt for Opinion

to report
in the matter, under the
late Ch: Commrs notefu
dated 17th Nov. 77

2. The Dy Commrs re-
ference is also invited
to para 2 of his no 299 dy
25th Septr 77 and he is
requested to expedite
the submission of his
promised report in
~~connection with~~ the
alienation of the inam
lands of the Narasimha-
-swamy temple at
Vignasante.

By order

Asst Secy

Asst

844

189 .

From The Deputy Commr
Tumkur District.

To The Secretary to the
Govt of Mysore,
Inam Department

Dated 2nd
Despatched 7th } April 1897
Received

General No. 817.
No.

Enclosure No. 2 Verifier's
This docket No. 881
dated 20th February 1897 6.94

Submits the Vernacular
records connected with
the alienation of some
of the Inam lands
attached to the Bala
Linga Swamy and
Narasimha Swamy
temples at Yeliyur
Sante on the Tiptur
with his opinion

No. 011.
Rev. 2 Ver. files

Deputy Commrs Office
Tiptur District
Dated 2nd April 1897

To (The) Secretary to the
Government of Mysore
Inam Department.

Sir

With reference to your docket No. 881/6.94 dated 20th February 1896, I have the honor to submit herewith the Vernacular records connected with the alienation of some of the Inam lands attached to the Balalingeswara and Narasimha Swami temples at Vijnanasante in the Tiptur Taluk. From a perusal of the Chittas produced in another similar case, viz that of Channigeraya Swami temple in the Tiptur Taluk, reported upon in my letter No. 816 of the 2nd April 1897, it appears

to me that these temple lands
were leased out to ryots in
times gone by on the condition
of the lessee paying to Govern-
-ment a fixed annual rent
both for the occupation of the land
and for the enjoyment of the Cocoa
-nut trees standing thereon -
The lands thereupon continued
to be entered in the Patta of the
lessee in the same manner as
other Kandayam land and the
lessee's right to hold them thus
became heritable and transfer
-able - Such right has since
passed several hands and the
lands are now found in the
possession of persons of castes
different from the original lessee
The amount of the lease has come
to be [illegible] ded in some cases
di and in others as the
[illegible] the

of the lands. In either case, no Inam tenure is conveyed in the lease. Where the amount now paid by the lessees or their successors is less than in future the assessment fixed upon these lands, it will be necessary to raise the former to the latter figure and make over the difference to the temple concerned. But before such a settlement is made, it will have to be ascertained whether the temple was in receipt of any portion of the amount paid by the lessee to Government.

2. The Communication papers connected with the case are herewith submitted for further reference.

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your most obedient servant

M. Narain Rao
Deputy Commissioner

Read:— Correspondence
ending with letter No.
817 dy 2nd April 1897
from the Dy: Commr.,
Tumkur Dt. regarding
the alienation of an Inc
land attached to the
Sri Balalingeswara Swa
Temple at Vighnasant
Tiptur Taluk.

—"—

Order thereon-R.

—"—from the Dy
and its enclosures
Comm's report it is —
observed that out of th
Marginally noted 2 land
attached as Inam to th
eswara Sw

No. 748 En: file
Huz 76.94 VP.
dy 21/12/97

Extent.	Assmt.	
A. G.	R. a. P.	Less 3 local irrig. cess
0.21	1-4-0	
	34-0-0	34-13

at Vighnasanke, one.
Survey no 39- is in the
possession and enjoyment
of the Temple Archak
and the other Survey no
111 has been alienated,
the alienee paying to
Govt. the entire Jodi sea
imposed on both the
inam lands. The alienation
of this land has taken
place prior to 31st January
1853; and under Rule II
(clause A) of the Notification
of the 17th November 1877,
the land should be
confirmed in the possession
of the alienee on a Jodi
of half the assessment
imposed on the lands
But should this Rule

be enforced the alienee will pay only Rs 17 to the Temple and the temple will have to pay to Govt the whole Jodi on the two lands — amounting to Rs 34-13-3 or Rs 17-13-3 more than what it would get.

2. Under such circumstances the Govt consider that no orders need be issued in regard to the alienation of the Inam land in question.

3. The question of the alienation of the Inam lands attached to the Sri Narasimhaswami at Ulsoor

ferred to in the ~~above~~
correspondence read above,
will be dealt with ~
separately and orders
regarding these lands
will be issued in due
course.

For draft

Mulzrai Secretary

To

The Deputy Commissioner
Tumkur District

Returning the Vernacular papers.
relating to the Balalingeswara Swami
temple lands.

MUZRAI.

1898

From

The Secretary to the
Government of Mysore.

To The Dy Commr.
Tumkur Dist.

Dated 17 Jan.y
Despatched 18
Received
General No. 874
Muzrai F. No. 6-94
Enclosure No. 1 p.s.

Adverting to the alienation of certain inam lands attached to the Sri Narasimhaswamy temple at Vishwasanke Tiptur Taluk :-

From the records received with the Dy Commrs letter no 817 dy 2nd April 1897 it appears that, out of the ~~Six~~ Inam endowed to the

Nos two have been
alienated. The alienation
of one of these – Viz, Survey No.
49 in the possession of
Patel Nanje Gowda Seems
to have taken place about
the year 1873; and under
Rule II clause A of the
Notification of the 17th
November 1877, the alienation
is liable to be cancelled
and the land resumed
and restored to the temple.
2. As regards however,
the other land – Survey No.
123 in the possession
of Gopalangar (Munsiff
at Chickmagalur), the latter
merely states that the
land has remained in the

possession of his
ancestors for several
years past. This statement
is vague and cannot
be accepted. The Dy
Commr is therefore
requested to hold a
further enquiry in the
matter by calling upon
Gopaliengar to produce
documentary evidence
to shew definitely, how
and when the land was
alienated to his ancestors
and report the result
of the enquiry with his
own opinion.

3. Final orders
in this cases



(General Serial No. 20.)

1900-1.

From

The Dy Commissioner
Tumkur Dist

To

The Secretary to the Govt of Mysore
General and Rev Dept

Dated 21st
Despatched 23rd } April 1901.

Received

General No. 1001 ____ } 1900-1.
No.

Enclosures Number. 1 file & 24

His docket no. 874 dated 19th Jan
Muz R 6-94

1898

Submitting a report in re the alienation of certain Inam lands attached to the Sri Narasimha-swamis temple at Vighnasante, Tiptur Taluk.

Deputy Commissioners Office
Tumkur District

Dated, Tumkur, 21st April 1901.

To
The Deputy Secretary to the Government
of Mysore, General and Revenue Department
Bangalore.

Sir,

In reply to your docket no: 874/6-94 dated 19th January 1898, calling for report as to how and when a certain Inam land attached to the Sri Varasimha Swamy Temple at Yighnadanté in the Tiptur Taluk was alienated to the ancestors of Mr Gopalaiengar, Munsiff of Vanjan pid, I have the honor to report that Mr Gopalaiengar has not produced any documentary evidence as to when and how the land was alienated to his ancestors, but that he has produced authenticated Copies of certain Exhibits and Judgments (23 in number) in O.S. No. 6 of 1867 on the file of the European Assistant Superintendent of the Tumkur District in respect of the claim

-brought by Shanmugam, father of
Gopalsamy, against one Lutchmi at
-iya for the land in question. The
Exhibits do not give the required ma
-tion.

The Gopalsamy's written statement
sent by him direct to the Court at
the Visiting Taluk under Cover of his
Yadi No: 6393 dated 17th December
is, herewith, submitted for refere
-gether with the vernacular record
relating to the subject.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,

Your most obedient Servant,

for Deputy Commissioner

of Survey no 49, has deposed
before the Arbitrator that the
whole number is not in his
enjoyment, that he has been
enjoying only one-fourth
of it and that the other
three-fourths is in possession
of the Archak. But the Sale
Deed of 1882, executed in
favour of his father, Narasegowda,
by one Sutte Rudrayya, shows
that half of it has been sold.
So, it is not clearly understood
what portion of the Survey no:
49 has been alienated, ~~and~~
what portion of the assessment
thereon is now being paid
by the aliene and whether,
as alleged by Nanjegowda

the other portion of the land is in the enjoyment of the Archuk.

The Dy Comr is therefore requested to report on the points referred to above.

The records are returned for reference and re-submission

Dft. 3/6/07

Dy Secretary

lr

(General Serial No. 30.)

1901

From The Deputy Commissioner
Inamdars Bellary

To The Deputy Secretary
The Government of Bombay
Inam Department
Bangalore.

Dated 18th July

Despatched 22nd July

Received

General No. 94. } 1901.
No.

Enclosures Number. 23 to 26

His No. 1265 dated 7th Aug-6-94
June 1901.

Submitting the Enclosures to the docket under reply are herewith returned regarding the alienated Inam land Survey No. 49 attached to the Narasimha Swami Temple at Vijayanagar in the Hospet Taluq.

To No 94
Sus 23-41-file.

Tumkur 18th July 1901
92nd do

To

The Deputy Secretary to the Government of mysore, Muzarai Department
Bangalore.

Sir

In reply to your docket No. 1265 dated the 9th June 1901, calling for an explanation of the discrepancy regarding the alienated Inam land, Survey No 49 attached to the Sri Nara-Simhaswami temple at Vegnavante in the Tepture Taluk, I have the honor to state that Nanje gowda, the present alienee of Survey No. 49 and Thimmappaiya, the present Archak of the temple, have now deposed (before the Amildar) to each of them enjoying a half of the said Survey number and paying therefor a moiety of the Jodi viz. Rupees 12. Nanje gowda further states that his statement made before the Amildar in 1897 - to the effect that he was enjoying a fourth share in the land in question, was from memory and that his present statement is true.

2 The enclosures to the docket

nder reply are herewith resubmitted

I have the honor to
Sir,

Your most obedient servant

Deputy Commissioner

C.B

Mysore

Read :-

Para 3 of Government

Lr no 748 dated

Mug. Fl 6-94

21st December 1897, observing

Head- the question of the

alienation of the Inam lands

attached to the Sri Narasimha

-swami Temple at Vighnasanta,

Tiptur Taluk, would be

dealt with separately and

orders issued in due course.

And Correspondence

ending with Letter no 94

dated 18th July 1901, from the

Dy Commissioner, Tumkur

District-, reporting on the

subject.

Order :-

Temple of Sri

Sri Narasimhaswami

of Vighnasoota in the Tiptur

Taluk, is entitled with

the marginally-noted 2n

lands at Vighnasoota.

Of these 2 wet lands, the

first four numbers are

reported to be in the possessio

and enjoyment of the temple

[illegible]

the other half of the S. no

49 and the whole of

the latter S. no 123 being

alienated. It appears

one- half of S. No. 49 in

question was first alienated

to one Muddli Venkataramana

on the 31st January 1872,

for a consideration of

of Rs 150, and it was subsequen

sold

.X.

S. no	Extent	Assd	Gudi & pagodde Govern
40	1—16	1—4—0	34-0
197	3—4	3—8—0	
160.	2—22	5—4—0	
170	10-34	12—4—0	
49	2—17	12—4—0	
123	3—4	31—4—0	

sold by him to one Luttu
Rudrappa on the 12th
November 1873 for Rs 150
and land it was again
sold by the latter to Patel
Narsu Gowda ~~Ganga~~
for Rs 100 on the 17th
December 1882. Ranja Gow
son of the last alienee
is now reported to be in
possession and enjoyment
thereof, paying a quit of
Rs 6-14-3 to Government.

2. The other number
~~Kaggeri~~ VK 123, appears to have
been in the enjoyment of
one Munisa Gopalinga's
father for about 30
years previous to 1876
(as per one Gopalinga's
statement) and

after him, it is reported to have been in the possession and enjoyment of Mrs Gopalimayee.

3. As regards the survey no 49, it is clear from the records, that one half of it has been alienated to several individuals, one after another, the first alienation having taken place so recently as in the year 1872. The alienation is therefore liable to be cancelled and the land summarily resumed and restored to the temple, under para II clause B of the Government Notification no 266 dated 17th November 1877. The Collector is requested to take the needful action accordingly in the matter.

4. The alienation of the other survey No 123,

4. Separate orders will
issue in regard to S. Wm 12th
~~Issue in the~~ Regt to above.

(Dawnis and a) 13/3/63
By Secretary

To
The A. Commy Genl
Dft & returning the Vol: Recruits
The Quarter Genl
The Comptr, Engineer Genl

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore, Muzrai, dated 16th March 1903.

READ—

Para 3 of Government Proceedings No. 748—Muz. F. 6-94, dated 21st December 1897, observing that the question of the alienation of the inam lands attached to the Sri Narasimhaswami temple at Vighnasante, Tiptur Taluk, would be dealt with separately and orders issued in due course.

AND—

Correspondence ending with letter No. 94, dated 18th July 1901, from the Deputy Commissioner, Tumkur District, reporting on the subject.

No. 1874-6—MUZ. F. 6-94, DATED BANGALORE, 16TH MARCH 1903.

ORDER THEREON.—The temple of Sri Narasimhaswami at Vighnasante in the Tiptur Taluk is endowed with the marginally noted inam lands at Vighnasante. Of these inam lands, the first four numbers and one-half of the fifth are reported to be in the possession and enjoyment of the temple, the other half of the Survey No. 49 and the whole of the Survey No. 123 being alienated. It appears that one-half of S. No. 49 in question was first alienated to one Mudli Venkataramana on the 31st January 1872, for a consideration of Rs. 150; that it was subsequently sold by him to one Putta Rudraiya on the 12th November 1873, for Rs. 150; and that it was again sold by the latter to Patel Narasegauda for Rs. 100 on the 17th December 1882. Nanjegauda, son of the last alienee, is now reported to be in possession and enjoyment thereof, paying a jodi of Rs. 6-14-3 to Government.

S. No.	Extent.		Assessment.			
	A.	g.	Rs.	a.	p.	
40	0	16	1	0	0	Jodi payable to Govt. Rs. 6-14-3
197	3	4	3	8	0	
160	4	22	5	0	0	
170	10	34	12	0	0	
49	2	17	12	0	0	
123	3	4	31	0	0	

2. The other number, *viz.*, 123, appears to have been in the enjoyment of Munsiff Mr. Gopaliengar's father, for about 30 years previous to 1876 (as per Mr. Gopaliengar's written statement), and after him, it is reported to have been in the possession and enjoyment of Mr. Gopaliengar.

3. As regards the Survey No. 49, it is clear from the records that one-half of it has been alienated to several individuals one after another, the first alienation having taken place so recently as the year 1872. The alienation will therefore be cancelled and the land summarily resumed and restored to the temple, under para II, clause B, of Government Notification No. 266, dated 17th November 1877. The Deputy Commissioner is requested to take the needful action accordingly in the matter.

4. Separate orders will issue in regard to Survey No. 123 referred to above.

Dy. Secy., Muzrai Dept.

To—The Deputy Commissioner, Tumkur District, returning the vernacular records.

The Inam Superintendent in Mysore.

The Comptroller to the Government of Mysore.

Exd.—C.V.

1902-03

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

MUZRAI

PROCEEDINGS

Dated 16th March 1903

No. 1874-6 / Muz. F. 6-94

Passing orders regarding the alienation of the inam lands attached to the Sri Narasimhaswami temple at Vighnasante, Tiptur Taluk.

దళ్ళలు త్వరిత్కరించుకోవచ్చు? ఈ దుర్వినియోగం నివారించడానికి
ఏమైనా చట్టాలున్నాయా? ఈ అధికారాన్ని ఎవరికి ఇవ్వాలి
ఎవరికి ఇవ్వకూడదు? ఈ అధికారాన్ని ఎవరు కోర్టులో ప్రశ్నించవచ్చు?
ఈ అధికారం ఎవరికి ఎంతకాలం ఉండాలి? ఈ అధికారాన్ని
దుర్వినియోగం చేసిన వారిని ఎవరు ఎలా శిక్షించాలి? ఈ అధికారాన్ని
ఎవరు ఎలా పర్యవేక్షించాలి? ఈ అధికారం ఎవరికి ఎంతకాలం ఉండాలి?
ఈ అధికారాన్ని ఎవరు ఎలా ప్రశ్నించ

MUZRAI SECRETARIAT.

19 —19

From

L. ANANTASAMI RAO, Esq., B A.,
DEPUTY SECRETARY TO THE
GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE,
MUZRAI DEPARTMEN

To

The Dy Commr
Tumkur Distt

Dated 21/9

Despatched ~~1329~~ November 1903

Received

General No. 1329
Muzrai F. No. 6-94

Enclosure No. 1 file

Sir,

I am directed to invite your reference to Govt Lr no 1874-6 Muzy Fy 6-94 dated 16th March 1903, directing the resumption and restoration to the Sri Narasimhaswamy temple at Vighnasante in the Tiptur Taluk, of the land Survey no 49 which has been alienated to one

Ranje Gowda, and to request to be informed what action has been taken in the matter.

2. As regards survey No 123 referred to in paras 2 and 4 of the Proceedings, I am to ~~enquire~~ state that, from the Jigtar Surveyor's report No 578 dated 12th March 1902, the original of which is herein enclosed for ready reference, it is observed that excluding the Kharsal and Kusarjoddi, a sum of Rs 29-4-6 as noted in the margin, is paid to Govt as Jodi on the Inam lands, the amount so paid being rateably distributed among the holders of the lands as follows: —

Annual Jodi Rs 22-8-0
Local cess 4-11-6
Irrigation cess 2-12-0
29-4-6

Rs 6-14-3 Paid by Rajaji (holders of S. Nos 40, 160, 170 & 1
6-14-3 paid by Ranjelgowda (holder of S. No 4
15-8-0
2-4-6 paid by one Gopalinayar

as to the question of alienation of Survey no 123 should be ~~disposed of~~ dealt with in accordance with clause A, Rule II of the Govt. Notification no 266 dated 17th November 1877, as the land appears to have been in the enjoyment of our Gopalingar's father for about 30 years previous to 1876 and after him in the possession and enjoyment of our Gopalingar up to date, I am to state that he would have to be subjected to a yearly one-half the assessment, the yearly assessment so imposed being payable direct to the Institution.

The assessment on the land being Rs 31 and the yearly payable thereon being Rs

Rs 11-12-6 excluding the
Local cess and the Irrigation
cesses, he will have to pay
(½ of Rs 31 + the full garadi
of Rs 11-12-6) Rs 27-4-6, in
addition to the proportionate
amount of Local and
Irrigation cesses; instead
of Rs 15-8-0 only as at present paid by him.
If so, I am to enquire how
the total garadi amount
payable to Govt, viz, ~~Rs 27-4-6~~
including the Local and the irrigation cesses
Rs 29-4-6 would be distributed
between the Rayat and the
Government.

The favour of an early
reply is requested.

I have &c

15/11/03
Secretary
Inam Dept

14/11/03

1904 05

From The Deputy Commissioner of Tinnevelly

To The Secretary to the Govt of H. H. the Maharaja of Travancore Muzumar Department

Dated 1st
Despatched 5th } November 1904.
Received

General No. 313
No.

Enclosure No. 1/file

Stating that G.O. No 49 endowed to the temple of Sri Varaha Sivaswami at Veyhmasan Tiptur Taluk has been resumed restored to the temple; that it has been leased out for Rs 50/- payable to the temple annually and making proposals for the distribution of Josti and the Cesses.

Deputy Commissioners office,
Tumkur District,
Tumkur 1st November 1904

From

L Varadaswami Iyer Esq,
Deputy Commissioner,
Tumkur District

To

L Anantasami Rao Esq, B.A,
Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
Muzarai Department

Sir,

In reply to your letter No 1329/Muz F 6-94 dated 21st November 1903, I have the honor to state that as directed in Proceedings No 1874-6/Muz F 6-94 dated 16th March 1903, the Survey No 49 endowed to the Temple of Sri Varasimhaswami at Vighnasanté in the Tiptur Taluk has been resumed and restored to the temple. It has been leased out for a sum of Rs 50/- payable to the temple annually by the lessee.

As regards the distribution of Jodi

and the cesses, I propose that (I) as survey
No 49 is restored to the temple it should pay
the Jodi and the cesses on it, (II) as survey
Nos 40 160, 170 and 147 are in the enjoyment
and possession of the Pujari, he has to
pay their Jodi and cesses, and (III) as survey
No 123 is proposed to be dealt with under
Clause 4, Rule II of Notification No 226
dated 17th November 1877, Mr Gopalaingar
will have to pay Jodi on Survey No 123
and cesses in addition to a half of its
assessment

3 Accordingly the total Jodi amount
of the 29-4-6 inclusive of the cesses payable
to Government by the temple, the Pujari &
Mr Gopalaingar is distributed as follows:—

	Jodi	Local cess	Irrigation cess	Total
The temple	4-9-0	0-12-0	0-12-0	6-1-0
The Pujari	6-2-6	1-5-6	0-1-0	7-9-0
Mr Gopal-laingar	11-12-6	1-15-0	1-15-0	15-10-6
Total	22-8-0	4-0-6	2-12-0	29-4-6

But Mr Gopalaingar has to pay the total
of ~~the~~ 15-10-6 together with a half of
vey No 123 that is

Rs 15-8-- payable to the temple on the 31-2-6
in all

The enclosures received with the
letter under reply are herewith returned.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

R. B. Ryland
for Deputy Commissioner,
Tumkur District.

Rel. No: 570

OFFICE OF THE DIWAN OF MYSORE
RECEIVED
Date
REG NO 870
MUZRAI BRANCH

ಸನ್ ಮಾನ್ಯರು ದಿ

ಮಾ 17 ರ 1902 ನೇ ಸಾಲಿನ 377 ನೇ ನಂಬರಿನ ಪತ್ರ ಓದಿ ತಿಳಿದಿರಿ

ಈ ಮೇಲ್ಕಾಣಿಸಿದ ವಿಷಯದ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಕಂಡ

ಮಾನ್ಯರೆ,

1 ನೀವು ಅಂದಾಜು ಮಾಡಿರುವ ಪ್ರಕಾರ ಈ ಕೆಳಗಿನ ಪ್ರಕಾರ ಕೆಲಸಗಳಿಗೆ ಒಟ್ಟು ಮೊತ್ತ ರೂ 197 ಆಗುತ್ತದೆ

ಕೆಲಸ

ಸಾಮಾನುಗಳನ್ನು ಸಾಗಿಸುವ ಕೂಲಿ

ಸಿಮೆಂಟು ಮಾಡಿ ಅಳತೆ ಮಾಡಿದ ಪ್ರಕಾರ ಕೂಲಿ ಸೇರಿ

40	ಮಾಸ್ಟ್	0	16	1	0	0	16	0
160	ಮಾಸ್ಟ್	4	22	0	0	1	8	0
170	ಮಾಸ್ಟ್	10	34	12	0	4	1	0
49	ಮಾಸ್ಟ್	2	17	12	0	0	4	9
123	0	3	4	31	0	11	12	6
				61	0	22	8	0
197	ಮಾ	3	4	3	0	0		

ಸಿಮೆಂಟು ಕೆಲಸ

ಅಂದಾಜಿನಲ್ಲಿ

ಅಂದಾಜಿನಲ್ಲಿ

2 ನೀವು ಅಂದಾಜು ಮಾಡಿರುವ ಪ್ರಕಾರ ಈ ಕೆಳಗಿನ ಕೆಲಸಗಳಿಗೆ ಒಟ್ಟು ಮೊತ್ತ ರೂ 40-197-160 170 ಅಂದಾಜಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಸೂಚಿಸಿದ ಪ್ರಕಾರ

ಕೆಲಸ ಅಂದಾಜು ಮಾಡಿದ 49-123 ನೇ ನಂಬರಿನ ಕೆಲಸಗಳಿಗೆ

ಸಾ - ಒ - ೭ / ೧೧ ೦

6 — 14 3 ಪಾಸ್ ಟು ಗೋವಾ

6 14 3 ಪಾಸಿಟಿವ್ ನೆಗೆಟಿವ್ ರಿಪೋರ್ಟ್

15 8 — ಪಾಸ್‌ಪೋರ್ಟ್‌ಗೆ ಅಪ್ಲೈ ಮಾಡಿದ್ದೀರಾ ಅಪಾಯಿಂಟ್‌ಮೆಂಟ್ ಸಿಕ್ಕಿದೆಯಾ

29 4—6

ಮಾಸ್ಕ್ ಧರಿಸಿ ಸಾಮಾಜಿಕ ಅಂತರ ಕಾಪಾಡಿಕೊಳ್ಳಿ ಸಾರ್ವಜನಿಕ ಸ್ಥಳಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಉಗುಳಬೇಡಿ

ಪಾಸ್‌ಪೋರ್ಟ್ ಅಪ್ಲಿಕೇಷನ್ ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಿದ ನಂತರ ಪೊಲೀಸ್ ವೆರಿಫಿಕೇಷನ್ ಆಗಲು ಎಷ್ಟು ದಿನ ಬೇಕಾಗುತ್ತದೆ ಎಂಬ ಮಾಹಿತಿ ಇಲ್ಲಿದೆ.

ಪಾಸ್‌ಪೋರ್ಟ್ ಅಪ್ಲಿಕೇಷನ್

ನಗರ

ಗ್ರಾಮಾಂತರ

140

160

170

197

1—8—

2—

4—

7—8—

ಅಪ್ಲಿಕೇಷನ್ ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಿದ ನಂತರ ಪೊಲೀಸ್ ವೆರಿಫಿಕೇಷನ್ ಆಗಲು ಎಷ್ಟು ದಿನ ಬೇಕಾಗುತ್ತದೆ ಎಂಬ ಮಾಹಿತಿ ಇಲ್ಲಿದೆ.

ಪಾಸ್‌ಪೋರ್ಟ್ ಅಪ್ಲಿಕೇಷನ್ ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಿದ ನಂತರ ಪೊಲೀಸ್ ವೆರಿಫಿಕೇಷನ್ ಆಗಲು ಎಷ್ಟು ದಿನ ಬೇಕಾಗುತ್ತದೆ ಎಂಬ ಮಾಹಿತಿ ಇಲ್ಲಿದೆ.

ನಗರ ಪ್ರದೇಶದಲ್ಲಿ ಪಾಸ್‌ಪೋರ್ಟ್ ಅಪ್ಲಿಕೇಷನ್ ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಿದ ನಂತರ ಪೊಲೀಸ್ ವೆರಿಫಿಕೇಷನ್ ಆಗಲು 25 ರಿಂದ 30 ದಿನಗಳು ಬೇಕಾಗುತ್ತದೆ ಎಂದು ಕೇಂದ್ರ ಸರ್ಕಾರವು ಸೂಚನೆ ನೀಡಿದೆ. ಆದರೆ ಗ್ರಾಮಾಂತರ ಪ್ರದೇಶದಲ್ಲಿ ಪಾಸ್‌ಪೋರ್ಟ್ ಅಪ್ಲಿಕೇಷನ್ ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಿದ ನಂತರ ಪೊಲೀಸ್ ವೆರಿಫಿಕೇಷನ್ ಆಗಲು ಎಷ್ಟು ದಿನ ಬೇಕಾಗುತ್ತದೆ ಎಂಬ ಮಾಹಿತಿ ಇಲ್ಲಿದೆ.

ಪಾಸ್‌ಪೋರ್ಟ್ ಅಪ್ಲಿಕೇಷನ್ ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಿದ ನಂತರ ಪೊಲೀಸ್ ವೆರಿಫಿಕೇಷನ್ ಆಗಲು ಎಷ್ಟು ದಿನ ಬೇಕಾಗುತ್ತದೆ ಎಂಬ ಮಾಹಿತಿ ಇಲ್ಲಿದೆ.

ನಗರ ಪ್ರದೇಶದಲ್ಲಿ ಪಾಸ್‌ಪೋರ್ಟ್ ಅಪ್ಲಿಕೇಷನ್ ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಿದ ನಂತರ ಪೊಲೀಸ್ ವೆರಿಫಿಕೇಷನ್ ಆಗಲು 25 ರಿಂದ 30 ದಿನಗಳು ಬೇಕಾಗುತ್ತದೆ ಎಂದು ಕೇಂದ್ರ ಸರ್ಕಾರವು ಸೂಚನೆ ನೀಡಿದೆ. ಆದರೆ ಗ್ರಾಮಾಂತರ ಪ್ರದೇಶದಲ್ಲಿ ಪಾಸ್‌ಪೋರ್ಟ್ ಅಪ್ಲಿಕೇಷನ್ ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಿದ ನಂತರ ಪೊಲೀಸ್ ವೆರಿಫಿಕೇಷನ್ ಆಗಲು ಎಷ್ಟು ದಿನ ಬೇಕಾಗುತ್ತದೆ ಎಂಬ ಮಾಹಿತಿ ಇಲ್ಲಿದೆ.

ಪಾಸ್‌ಪೋರ್ಟ್ ಅಪ್ಲಿಕೇಷನ್ ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಿದ ನಂತರ ಪೊಲೀಸ್ ವೆರಿಫಿಕೇಷನ್ ಆಗಲು ಎಷ್ಟು ದಿನ ಬೇಕಾಗುತ್ತದೆ ಎಂಬ ಮಾಹಿತಿ ಇಲ್ಲಿದೆ.

ನಗರ ಪ್ರದೇಶದಲ್ಲಿ ಪಾಸ್‌ಪೋರ್ಟ್ ಅಪ್ಲಿಕೇಷನ್ ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಿದ ನಂತರ ಪೊಲೀಸ್ ವೆರಿಫಿಕೇಷನ್ ಆಗಲು 25 ರಿಂದ 30 ದಿನಗಳು ಬೇಕಾಗುತ್ತದೆ ಎಂದು ಕೇಂದ್ರ ಸರ್ಕಾರವು ಸೂಚನೆ ನೀಡಿದೆ. ಆದರೆ ಗ್ರಾಮಾಂತರ ಪ್ರದೇಶದಲ್ಲಿ ಪಾಸ್‌ಪೋರ್ಟ್ ಅಪ್ಲಿಕೇಷನ್ ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಿದ ನಂತರ ಪೊಲೀಸ್ ವೆರಿಫಿಕೇಷನ್ ಆಗಲು ಎಷ್ಟು ದಿನ ಬೇಕಾಗುತ್ತದೆ ಎಂಬ ಮಾಹಿತಿ ಇಲ್ಲಿದೆ.

12/3/1902

for Amilder

6-14-3

6-14-3

5

29-4-6

Before me

Justice of the
for Builders

ಅಂಬಿಗರ ಚೌಡಯ್ಯನವರ ವಚನಗಳು

ಸಂಪಾದಕರು : ಡಾ. ಎಂ. ಚಿದಾನಂದಮೂರ್ತಿ

ಪ್ರಕಾಶಕರು : ಕರ್ನಾಟಕ ವಿಶ್ವವಿದ್ಯಾಲಯ, ಧಾರವಾಡ

ಮುದ್ರಣ : ೧೯೮೨

ಬೆಲೆ : ೪೦ ರೂಪಾಯಿ

ಈ ಪುಸ್ತಕವು ಕರ್ನಾಟಕ ವಿಶ್ವವಿದ್ಯಾಲಯದ ಪ್ರಸಾರಾಂಗದ ಪ್ರಕಟಣೆಗಳ ಯೋಜನೆಯಡಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಪ್ರಕಟವಾಗಿದೆ. ಇದರ ಮುದ್ರಣಕ್ಕೆ ಕರ್ನಾಟಕ ಸರ್ಕಾರದ ಸಂಸ್ಕೃತಿ ಇಲಾಖೆಯು ಆರ್ಥಿಕ ನೆರವು ನೀಡಿದೆ. ಈ ಪುಸ್ತಕದಲ್ಲಿ ಪ್ರಕಟವಾಗಿರುವ ವಿಚಾರಗಳಿಗೆ ಸಂಪಾದಕರೇ ಹೊಣೆಗಾರರು. ಕರ್ನಾಟಕ ವಿಶ್ವವಿದ್ಯಾಲಯ ಅಥವಾ ಕರ್ನಾಟಕ ಸರ್ಕಾರ ಇವುಗಳಿಗೆ ಹೊಣೆಗಾರರಲ್ಲ.

ಕರ್ನಾಟಕ ವಿಶ್ವವಿದ್ಯಾಲಯ ಪ್ರಸಾರಾಂಗದ ಪ್ರಕಟಣೆಗಳ ಯೋಜನೆಯಡಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಪ್ರಕಟವಾಗಿರುವ ಈ ಪುಸ್ತಕದ ಎಲ್ಲ ಹಕ್ಕುಗಳನ್ನು ಕರ್ನಾಟಕ ವಿಶ್ವವಿದ್ಯಾಲಯ ಕಾಯ್ದಿರಿಸಿದೆ. ಕರ್ನಾಟಕ ವಿಶ್ವವಿದ್ಯಾಲಯದ ಲಿಖಿತ ಅನುಮತಿಯಿಲ್ಲದೆ ಈ ಪುಸ್ತಕದ ಯಾವುದೇ ಭಾಗವನ್ನು ಮುದ್ರಣ, ಧ್ವನಿಮುದ್ರಣ, ಯಾಂತ್ರಿಕ ಅಥವಾ ಇಲೆಕ್ಟ್ರಾನಿಕ್ ಮಾಧ್ಯಮ ಮುಂತಾದ ಯಾವುದೇ ರೂಪದಲ್ಲಿ ಪುನರುತ್ಪಾದಿಸಲು ಅಥವಾ ಬಳಸಲು ಅವಕಾಶವಿಲ್ಲ.

ಕರ್ನಾಟಕ ವಿಶ್ವವಿದ್ಯಾಲಯ ಪ್ರಸಾರಾಂಗದ ಪ್ರಕಟಣೆಗಳ ಯೋಜನೆಯಡಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಪ್ರಕಟವಾಗಿರುವ ಈ ಪುಸ್ತಕದ ಎಲ್ಲ ಹಕ್ಕುಗಳನ್ನು ಕರ್ನಾಟಕ ವಿಶ್ವವಿದ್ಯಾಲಯ ಕಾಯ್ದಿರಿಸಿದೆ. ಕರ್ನಾಟಕ ವಿಶ್ವವಿದ್ಯಾಲಯದ ಲಿಖಿತ ಅನುಮತಿಯಿಲ್ಲದೆ ಈ ಪುಸ್ತಕದ ಯಾವುದೇ ಭಾಗವನ್ನು ಮುದ್ರಣ, ಧ್ವನಿಮುದ್ರಣ, ಯಾಂತ್ರಿಕ ಅಥವಾ ಇಲೆಕ್ಟ್ರಾನಿಕ್ ಮಾಧ್ಯಮ ಮುಂತಾದ ಯಾವುದೇ ರೂಪದಲ್ಲಿ ಪುನರುತ್ಪಾದಿಸಲು ಅಥವಾ ಬಳಸಲು ಅವಕಾಶವಿಲ್ಲ.

ಕರ್ನಾಟಕ ವಿಶ್ವವಿದ್ಯಾಲಯ ಪ್ರಸಾರಾಂಗದ ಪ್ರಕಟಣೆಗಳ ಯೋಜನೆಯಡಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಪ್ರಕಟವಾಗಿರುವ ಈ ಪುಸ್ತಕದ ಎಲ್ಲ ಹಕ್ಕುಗಳನ್ನು ಕರ್ನಾಟಕ ವಿಶ್ವವಿದ್ಯಾಲಯ ಕಾಯ್ದಿರಿಸಿದೆ. ಕರ್ನಾಟಕ ವಿಶ್ವವಿದ್ಯಾಲಯದ ಲಿಖಿತ ಅನುಮತಿಯಿಲ್ಲದೆ ಈ ಪುಸ್ತಕದ ಯಾವುದೇ ಭಾಗವನ್ನು ಮುದ್ರಣ, ಧ್ವನಿಮುದ್ರಣ, ಯಾಂತ್ರಿಕ ಅಥವಾ ಇಲೆಕ್ಟ್ರಾನಿಕ್ ಮಾಧ್ಯಮ ಮುಂತಾದ ಯಾವುದೇ ರೂಪದಲ್ಲಿ ಪುನರುತ್ಪಾದಿಸಲು ಅಥವಾ ಬಳಸಲು ಅವಕಾಶವಿಲ್ಲ.

೨೦-೨-೨೦೧೭

೧೭. ಹೆಚ್ಚು ಸಂಖ್ಯೆಯ ಅಂಕಿಗಳನ್ನು ಒಳಗೊಂಡ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆಗಳನ್ನು ಓದಲು ಮತ್ತು ಬರೆಯಲು

ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ: ಕೆಳಗಿನ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಗಳಿಗೆ ಉತ್ತರಿಸಿ.

ಅಥವಾ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಗಳಿಗೆ ಉತ್ತರಿಸಿ.

ಈ ಕೆಳಗಿನ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಗಳಿಗೆ ಉತ್ತರಿಸಿ.

ಅಥವಾ ಈ ಕೆಳಗಿನ

ಕಾರಣ ಕೊಡಿ.

iii ಈ ಪ್ರಕರಣದಲ್ಲಿ ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರರು ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಿರುವ ಅರ್ಜಿಯು ಸಮಂಜಸವಾಗಿದೆಯೇ ಇಲ್ಲವೇ ಎಂಬುದರ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ನಿಮ್ಮ ಅಭಿಪ್ರಾಯವೇನು ಕಾರಣ ಕೊಡಿ.

ಅಥವಾ

ಈ ಪ್ರಕರಣದಲ್ಲಿ ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರರು ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಿರುವ ಅರ್ಜಿಯು ಸಮಂಜಸವಾಗಿದೆಯೇ ಇಲ್ಲವೇ ಎಂಬುದರ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ನಿಮ್ಮ ಅಭಿಪ್ರಾಯವೇನು ಕಾರಣ ಕೊಡಿ.

ಅಥವಾ

ಈ ಪ್ರಕರಣದಲ್ಲಿ ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರರು ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಿರುವ ಅರ್ಜಿಯು ಸಮಂಜಸವಾಗಿದೆಯೇ ಇಲ್ಲವೇ ಎಂಬುದರ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ನಿಮ್ಮ ಅಭಿಪ್ರಾಯವೇನು ಕಾರಣ ಕೊಡಿ.

ಅಥವಾ

ಈ ಪ್ರಕರಣದಲ್ಲಿ ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರರು ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಿರುವ ಅರ್ಜಿಯು ಸಮಂಜಸವಾಗಿದೆಯೇ ಇಲ್ಲವೇ ಎಂಬುದರ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ನಿಮ್ಮ ಅಭಿಪ್ರಾಯವೇನು ಕಾರಣ ಕೊಡಿ.

ಅಥವಾ

ಈ ಪ್ರಕರಣದಲ್ಲಿ ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರರು ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಿರುವ ಅರ್ಜಿಯು ಸಮಂಜಸವಾಗಿದೆಯೇ ಇಲ್ಲವೇ ಎಂಬುದರ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ನಿಮ್ಮ ಅಭಿಪ್ರಾಯವೇನು ಕಾರಣ ಕೊಡಿ.

ಅಥವಾ

ಈ ಪ್ರಕರಣದಲ್ಲಿ ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರರು ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಿರುವ ಅರ್ಜಿಯು ಸಮಂಜಸವಾಗಿದೆಯೇ ಇಲ್ಲವೇ ಎಂಬುದರ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ನಿಮ್ಮ ಅಭಿಪ್ರಾಯವೇನು ಕಾರಣ ಕೊಡಿ.

ಅಥವಾ

ಈ ಪ್ರಕರಣದಲ್ಲಿ ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರರು ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಿರುವ ಅರ್ಜಿಯು ಸಮಂಜಸವಾಗಿದೆಯೇ ಇಲ್ಲವೇ ಎಂಬುದರ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ನಿಮ್ಮ ಅಭಿಪ್ರಾಯವೇನು ಕಾರಣ ಕೊಡಿ.

ಅಥವಾ

ಈ ಪ್ರಕರಣದಲ್ಲಿ ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರರು ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಿರುವ ಅರ್ಜಿಯು ಸಮಂಜಸವಾಗಿದೆಯೇ ಇಲ್ಲವೇ ಎಂಬುದರ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ನಿಮ್ಮ ಅಭಿಪ್ರಾಯವೇನು ಕಾರಣ ಕೊಡಿ.

Dr. Ambedkar Law College
Deputy Secretary to Govt
Minority Department

15 Oct 2021
Bengaluru

Sir,

I am directed to refer to the subject cited and to say that the Government have decided to establish a Law College in the name of Dr. Ambedkar in Bengaluru, Mysuru, Dharwad, Kalaburagi and Belagavi Districts.

2. The Government have also decided to establish Dr. Ambedkar Law College in Bengaluru, Mysuru, Dharwad, Kalaburagi and Belagavi Districts with 120 intake capacity in each College from the academic year 2021-22 and to sanction the following posts for the said Colleges.

IV 123 Principal, Professor, Librarian, Assistant Professor

Yours faithfully,

Murgod.

Read:—

Govt: Ro: no 1874 & no: 7 6-94 of 16th March 1903, passing orders regarding the alienation of the inam lands attached to the Sri Narasimhaswami temple at Vishnusamudra, Tiptur Taluk.

And,

Correspondence ending with letter no. 213 of 18th Nov 1904, from the Dy Commr, Tumkur District.

Order thereon

In regard to the alienation of Sur: no 49 attached to the temple, final orders have been passed by

Govt in the Proceedings
read above, directing its
resumption and restoration
to the institution. The Dy
Commr reports that this
inam land has since been
leased out for a sum of
Rs 50 payable to the temple
annually by the lessee.
The action taken by the Dy Commr
is approved.

2. The other Sur. No 123 which is assessed at Rs. 31 was granted as inam to the temple on a Jodi of Rs. 15-10-6 inclusive of local and ~~attached as inam to the~~ Irrigation cesses.
It became somehow alienated
~~temple which has been alienated~~,
and was
~~has been~~ in the enjoyment
of Munsiff Mr Gopalierigar's
father for about 30 years
previous to 1876 (as per Mr
Gopalierigar's written statement)

and applications, it has been
in the possession and
enjoyment of said Estates
through the exact date of
the alienation, without any

adverse claim, [illegible]

from the documents produced and depositions given before the European Asst Supdt in April and May 1867, that

the alienation took place prior to the 31st January 1853, and that the Crown was in the enjoyment of the third parties including Govt Tenants for their benefit since then.

~~for 20 years prior to 1876.~~

~~the year of the Settlement.~~ This

number should therefore

be dealt with in accordance

with Clause A, Rule 11

of the Govt. Notn No 266

of 17th Nov. 1877, under which

the land in question will be

confirmed to the alienee

, I subjected to a pro-

four half the assessment,
viz Rs. 15-8-".
the Jodi to imposed, being
paid direct to the temple.

3rd No Jodi and other cesses
payable to Govt on the Several
items now attached to the
temple exclusive of the Khalsat-
Kaer Jodi, will stand as follows:-

		Jodi	Local cess	Imaten cess	Total	Remarks
1	By The temple	4-9-0	0-12-0	0-12-0	6-1-0	on account of the sur. no 49 having been restored to the temple under order of Govt of the 16th march 190- read above.
2	By The Archak	6 2 6	1 5 6	0-1-0	7 9 0	on account of sur. nos 40, 160 & 170 being in his possession & enjoyment.
3	By M. Gopalanga	11 2 6	1-15-0	1-15-0	15-10-6	On account of Survey No 123
					29 4 6	

M. Gopalanga will in addition to
Rs 15 10 6 detailed above, pay to the
temple one half of the assessment
on Sur. No 123, since confirmed to him
in para 2 above, i e, Rs 15-8-0 or
in all Rs 31-2 6.

To, The Deputy Commr. Trichinopoly Dist.
Superintt of Inam Settlt.
Rev Commr in

No. 4177 - 8/15/26.3.06

appd by the Ct and the Dewan on the Office Note.

Issue & print

GL 28/3/06

therefore be [illegible] ...
... A., ... II of ... Port-
... No. ..., ... held ..., ... tion will not ... enjoy and ... If ... assessment ... posed, viz., ... to the ...

3. The Jodi and other ... people to cover ... Nos. ... annexed as ... to the ... exclusive of the Phalsa ... Jodi will stand as follows:-

	Jodi.	Local Cess.	Ir. Cess.	Total.	Remarks.
.	By the temple.	4-9-0.	0-12-0.	0-12-0.	6- 1-0. On account of Sur No. ... having been restored to the temple under Orders of Govt of ... dated ... above.
.	By the Archak.	6-2-6.	1- 5-6.	0- 1-0.	7- 9-0. On account of Sur Nos 40,160 ... being in his possession enjoyment.
		6	1-15-0.	1-15-0.	15-10-6 On account of Sur.

T. Gopala Iyengar will, in addition
payable to Govt as shown above,
to Rs. 15-10-0 ~~detailed above~~, pay to
the sole one-half of the assessment
now
on Survey No. 12%, ~~viz.~~ confirmed to
him as above, i.e., Rs. 15- -0
per annum,
~~or in all Rs. 31-1-0~~.

23/3/06

Secretary,

Huzrai Department.

To,

The Dy. Commr., Tumkur Dt, retg. encl.

,, Supdt of Inam Settlements.

,, Rev. Commr. in Mysore.

,, Comptroller to Govt.

,, Secy to Govt., Genl & Rev. Deptts.

Copy to Mr. R. T. Gopalaengar
for info
23/3/06

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore, Muzrai, dated 26th March 1906.

READ—

(1) Government Proceedings No. 1874-6—Muz. F. 6-94, dated 16th March 1903, passing orders regarding the alienation of the Inam lands attached to the Sri Narasimhaswami temple at Vighnasante, Tiptur Taluk.

(2) Correspondence ending with letter No. 313, dated 1st November 1904, from the Deputy Commissioner, Tumkur District.

No. 4177-81, DATED BANGALORE, 26TH MARCH 1906.

ORDER THEREON.—In regard to the alienation of Survey No. 49, attached to the temple, final orders have been passed by Government in the Proceedings read above directing its resumption and restoration to the institution. The Deputy Commissioner reports that this Inam land has since been leased out for a sum of Rs. 50 payable to the temple annually by the lessee. The action taken by the Deputy Commissioner is approved.

2. The other Survey No. 123, which is assessed at Rs. 31, was granted a. Inam to the temple on a Jodi of Rs. 15-10-6, inclusive of local and irrigation cesses It became somehow alienated and was in the enjoyment of Munsiff Mr. Gopaliengar's father for about thrity years previous to 1876, (as per Mr. Gopaliengar's written statement) and after him it has been in the possession and enjoyment of Mr. Gopaliengar. Though the exact date of its alienation cannot be ascertained, it appears from the documents produced and depositions given before the European Assistant Superintendent in April and May 1867, that the alienation took place prior to the 31st January 1853, and that the land was in the enjoyment of third parties, including Mr. Gopaliengar's father, since then. This number should therefore be dealt with in accordance with clause A, Rule II of the Government Notification No. 266, dated 17th November 1877, under which the land in question will now be confirmed to the alienee and subjected to a Jodi of one-half its assessment, the Jodi so imposed *viz.*, Rs. 15-8-0, being paid direct to the temple.

3. The Jodi and other cesses payable to Government on the several Survey numbers attached as Inam to the temple, exclusive of the Khalsat and Kasar Jodi, will stand as follows:—

	Jodi	Local cess	Irrigation cess	Total	Remarks
	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	
1 By the temple ...	4 9 0	0 12 0	0 12 0	6 1 0	On account of Survey No. 49 having been restored to the temple under orders of Government of 16th March 1903, read above.
2 By the archak ...	6 2 6	1 5 6	0 1 0	7 9 0	On account of Survey Nos. 40, 160 and 170, being in his possession and enjoyment.
3 By Mr. Gopaliengar	11 2 6	1 15 0	1 15 0	15 10 6	On account of Survey No. 123.
Total ...	..	..	...	29 4 6	

Mr. Gopaliengar will, in addition to Rs. 15-10-6 payable to Government as shown above, pay to *the temple* one-half of the assessment on Survey No. 123, now confirmed to him in para 2 above, *i. e.*, Rs. 15-8-0 per annum.

L. ANANTASAMI RAO,
Secy. to Govt., Muz. Dept.

To—The Deputy Commissioner, Tumkur District.
The Superintendent of Inam Settlements in Mysore.
The Revenue Commissioner in Mysore.
The Comptroller to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.
The Secretary to Government, General and Revenue Department.

1905-06

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS
THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

MUZRAI

PROCEEDINGS

Dated 26th March 1906

No. 4177-81
Muz. F. 6 of 1894

Passing orders regarding the alienation of Survey No. 123, an Inam endowed to Sri Narasimhaswami temple at Vighnasante in the Tiptur Taluk.